



## Secure Key Distribution And Data Sharing For Dynamic Groups In Cloud To Protect From Collusion Attack

B Mary Suhani<sup>1</sup>, V Srinadh<sup>2</sup>

#1 M.Tech Scholar, Department of Computer Science Engineering,

#2 Assist.Prof, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, GMRIT, Rajam, India.

### Abstract—

Sharing group resource among cloud clients is a noteworthy issue, so cloud computing gives a economical and creative planning, Because of regular change of participation, sharing information in a multi-proprietor way to an untrusted cloud is still a testing issue. In this proposition a protected multi-proprietor information sharing plan, for element bunch in the cloud. By giving AES encryption while transferring the information, any cloud client can safely impart information to others. In the interim, the capacity overhead and encryption calculation expense of the plan are free with the quantity of disavowed clients. Furthermore, I dissect the security of this plan with thorough confirmations. One-Time Password is one of the least demanding and most well-known types of validation that can be utilized for securing access to accounts. One-Time Passwords are frequently alluded to as a protected and more grounded types of verification, and permitting them to introduce over different machines. It gives a various levels of security to share information among multi-proprietor way. To start with the client chooses the content based secret word. At that point OTP is produced consequently and sent to relating email account. We propose a protected route for key conveyance with no safe correspondence channels, and the clients can safely acquire their private keys from gathering supervisor. In the interim, we should give security assurances to the sharing information documents since they are outsourced. Sadly, in light of the regular change of the enrollment, sharing information while giving protection safeguarding is still a testing issue, particularly for an untreated cloud because of the conspiracy assault. Besides, to exist plots, the security of key conveyance depends on the safe correspondence channel, be that as it may, to have such channel is a solid presumption and is troublesome for practice.

**Keywords:** Cloud Computing, Data owners, Cloud storage, anti-collusion, group manager, group user.

### I. Introduction

Cloud is the most recent and quickly developing innovation which gives the resources to its clients progressively by means of the web. It gives most

generally utilized meaning of cloud computing as "a model for empowering helpful, on interest system access to a common pool of configurable processing resources (e.g. system, servers, stockpiling, application and resources) that can be quickly provisioned and discharged with negligible administration exertion and administration supplier connection". Cloud storage is one of its resources which give a consistent pool to store the advanced information. It gives simple, practical and dependable approach to deal with the information. With cloud storage and sharing resources (e.g. Google Drive, Dropbox) individuals can cooperate as a gathering and impart the information to each other. Cloud computing empowers its clients to store the information and in addition impart the information to each other. At the point when client makes the common information, client gets to and alters the information as well as shares the information with different clients. Since shared information got to and changed by numerous clients, it confronts the difficulties of keeping up the respectability of shared information. Different procedures are proposed to check the uprightness of shared information [3], [4]. These methods prescribes to append the mark to every square of information and their respectability relies on upon the accuracy of the every one of the marks. The majority of the work proposes systems to confirm the honesty of single proprietor shared information as opposed to multi-proprietor information. Multi-proprietor information is the information where every square is marked by the various clients. Multi-proprietor shared information can be found in numerous genuine circumstances, for example, checking accuracy of the money related records put away in cloud is legitimate just on the off chance that all individuals from board panel are affirmed, patient's e-wellbeing records are further used just if both patient and his doctor(s) are endorsed and marked. In a gathering of clients sharing the information, when client alters a piece, he/she needs to process the mark on that changed square. So with various proprietors pieces are marked by numerous proprietors in the gathering. At the point when any client leaves the gathering he/she

should be disavowed from the gathering and pieces marked by this repudiated client must be surrendered. The vast majority of the past work accepted that the cloud is semi-fair i.e. can't be intrigued with any untrusted or repudiated client. In arrangement assault, cloud can take in the substance of the common information scheming with renounced client.

## II. Related Work

Zhongma Zhu et. al. [1] "An ensured Anti-Collusion Data portion Scheme for Dynamic Groups in the Cloud" In the cloud among the characters of low safeguarding and small overseeing charge. In the interim, we should offer security ensures proposed for the assignment data documents since they are outsourced. Unfortunately, in light of the regular change of the connection, dissemination data while giving protection saving is still a difficult issue, particularly for an untrusted cloud because of the learning ambush. In addition, for open plan, the security of key distribution is base eager for advancement safe correspondence conductor, in any case, to have such course is a solid supposition and is troublesome for watch. In this proposed work, creator means a secured against conspiracy data dissemination strategy for element bunches in the cloud. In our framework, the clients can safely get their private keys from gathering supervisor Certificate Authorities and secure correspondence channels. M. Armbrust et.al. [2] "over the Clouds: A Berkeley vision of Cloud Computing" In this anticipated procedure of cloud calculation, cloud administration suppliers offer a deliberation of endless storage room for customers to host information It can help customers diminish their budgetary overhead of information resources by moving the restricted resources framework into cloud server. Be that as it may, assurance concerns turn into the principle limitation as we now outsource the capacity of information, which is perhaps touchy, to cloud suppliers To protect information security, a typical methodology is to encode information documents before the customers transfer the scrambled information into the cloud. Kallahalla et al [3] "Plutus: Scalable ensured organizer designation on Untrusted Storage," As storage room framework and being storage room gadgets themselves get to be system, they should secure nearby the standard assault on correspondence cross an untrusted, possibly unlimited, system and additionally assault on the store data itself. This is a test on the grounds that the real reason for arranged storage room is to permit basic sharing of data, which is often inconsistent with information security. To ensure store data it is insufficient to utilize ordinary system security methods that are utilized for secure correspondence between sets of client or associating

customers and servers. Thinking about a store data thing as basically a message with long system inactivity is a deceptive correspondence. Since the same bit of data could be perused by various clients, when one client places information into a common storage room framework, the consequent recipient of this "message" (put away information thing) is regularly not known ahead of time. What's more, in light of the fact that numerous clients could educate the same bit of data, a third customer may every once in a while upgrade "the message" before it achieves its possible beneficiary. Put away information must be protected over longer timeframe than particular message round-outing times. The technique depicted in this article are utilized as setup squares to plan Plutus, a complete, ensured, and all around sorted out document association. We manufactured a model usage of this arrangement by fuse it enthusiastic about OpenAFS, and measured its presentation on miniaturized scale benchmarks. We demonstrated that the presentation sway, due regularly to the expense of cryptography, is identical to the expense of two prevalent plan that scramble on the wire. However, the majority of Plutus' cryptography is performed on customers, not servers, so Plutus has propelled adaptability along by method for more grounded security. Shucheng Yu et.al. [4] "Accomplishing Secure, Scalable, and Fine-grained Data Access Control in Cloud process" Cloud figuring is a shows potential to registering model which crisply has emptied general mindfulness out of both the scholarly world and industry. By consolidate a position of existing and new strategy from examination ranges, for example, Service-Oriented Architectures (SOA) and virtualization, it is see all things considered a process model in which capital in the figure transportation are give as resources over the Internet. Along through this new model, different plans of action are produced, which can be portray by terms of "X as an administration (XaaS)". This paper goes for extremely very much grained information induction sort out in cloud computing. One face in this connection is to accomplish extremely well-grainedness, information attentiveness, and versatility in the meantime, which is not give by present work. In this paper we propose a framework to achieve this objective by abusing KP-ABE and only join it with strategy of option re-encryption and lethargic re-encryption. Besides, our anticipated plan can empower the information proprietor to assign the greater part of count visual projection to controlling cloud servers.

## III. Collusion Attack Scheme

Because additive embedding method [8] is widely used in watermarking, average attack is used as a main security analysis tool. This section describes

collusion attack which extends average attack so as to enable  $k$  traitors to create a pirate image of good quality safely. For self-contained, the average attack is introduced in the following.

**A. Average Attack**

Trappe *et al.* studied the security of AND-ACC fingerprinting based on the collusion attack model in [9] as

$$\begin{cases} \hat{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i Y_i \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1 \\ 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad (1)$$

where  $Y_i$  is the legal watermarked image of traitor  $P_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k = 2r+1$ . Trappe *et al.* selected  $\lambda_i = 1/k$ , and they also noted: “there may exist cases in which the underlying fingerprints will not necessarily have the same energy, or be independent of each other, and that other choices for  $\lambda_i$  might be more appropriate.” Although Trappe *et al.* noticed the existence of other collusion attacks, they did not propose an effective collusion attack but average attack. Indeed, Su *et al.* [19] extended the average attack. They noted “more sophisticated linear temporal filters by allowing  $\lambda_i$  (i.e.,  $\lambda_i$  in [7]) to take on arbitrary values”. Clearly, their collusion is not right. For example, if  $k = 100$ , the traitors will obtain nothing but noise according to Su’s attack [9]. Thus, How to select  $\lambda_i$  is very important in the linear attack. In the following, a collusion attack model is addressed.

**B. Linear Combination Collusion Attack**

Collusion Attack extends the average collusion attack [9][7] by removing the unnecessary restraint  $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$  from formula

$$\hat{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i Y_i \quad (2) \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1$$

Generally speaking, all the watermarks have almost the same energy. In order that each traitor has the same probability of escaping from being identified, the contribution to the pirated image from any traitor should be almost identical. That is to say,  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = \dots = |\lambda_k|$ . Hence,  $\lambda_i$  is selected to be 1 or -1 in the collusion attack of the present paper. Without loss of generality, the collusion attack model is

$$\hat{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^r Y_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+1} -Y_i \quad (3)$$

Obviously, the challenge for collusion attack is how to achieve good fidelity of the pirated image. To quantitatively describe the similarity between the original image  $X$  and the pirated image  $\hat{Y}$ , suppose the processing image is 8-bit gray images, and all the independent watermarks have the same energy, calculate the PSNR (peak signal-noise-ratio) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \|\hat{Y} - X\|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i Y_i - X \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha \lambda_i W_i \right\|^2 = \frac{k}{n^2} \|\alpha W\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} PSNR &= 10(\lg 255^2 - \lg \sigma^2) \\ &= 10(\lg 255^2 - \lg \frac{1}{n^2} \|\alpha W\|^2) - 10 \lg k \\ &= PSNR_0 - 10 \lg k, \end{aligned}$$

Where  $PSNR_0$  is the PSNR of the original watermarked image. Comparing with PSNR of the original watermarked images, the PSNR of the pirated image is decreased only  $10 \lg k$  dB. For instance, if there are three traitors, the PSNR of pirated image is reduced  $10 \lg 3 = 4.7$  dB.

**IV. Design Objectives of Authorized Method**

The main design objectives of the schema include:


- ◆ A safe key dispersion with no secure communication channel. The user gets the private key from Certificate authorities with the public key.
- ◆ The group users can provide fine-grained access control of the group manager.
- ◆ The group user can revoke from the dynamic groups safely with the influence of the polynomial function.
- ◆ The number of the user revoked is independent of the existing user in dynamic groups getting the private key.

**A. Scheme Representation**

The System model consists of the Group Manager, Group user, and the Cloud [6]. The Group member or group users can divide as creator, reader and writer. The system setup is as follows

- Step1: Set up the Cloud Server
- Step2: Confirm the Group Manager
- Step3: Select Group Member with privileges
- Step4: Group Member Registration
- Step5: Key Distribution for Group Member & Group Manager
- Step 6: Data Read/Write/Create
- Step 7: Revocation procedures

The work flow of the system model is



**B. Methodology**

Preliminaries:

[1] Bilinear Maps: Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  added substance cyclic gatherings of the same prime request  $q$ . Let  $e$ :

$G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_2$  signify a bilinear guide developed with the accompanying properties:

. Bilinear:  $\forall a, b \in Z^*_q$  and  $P, Q \in G_1, e(aP, bQ) = e(P, Q)^{ab}$

• 2. Non create: There exists a point  $Q$  such that  $e(Q, Q) = 1$ .

• 3. Calculable: There is a productive calculation to process  $e(P, Q)$  for any  $P, Q \in G_1$ .

C. Awry Encryption Algorithm

Step 1: Select two Prime Numbers  $P$  and  $Q$

Step 2: Compute  $N=p*q$  Compute  $(N)=(p-1)*(q-1)$

Step 3: Choose  $e$  such that  $1 < e < (N)$  and  $e$  and  $N$  are Co prime


Step 4: Computer a quality for  $d$  such that  $(d * e) \% (N) = 1$

Step 5: Public key is  $(e, N)$  Private Key is  $(d, N)$

The lopsided Encryption strategies empower the gathering supervisor to progressively increment crisp client and in the meantime saves the prior figured data. Thus, recently joined clients can straightly decode information records without reaching with the proprietors. So that there will be no compelling reason to change client unscrambling keys.

#### D. Framework Entities Work

1. Client Registration For client enlistment of client part has an ID. The gathering supervisor includes the client ID into the gathering client list, which will be utilized as a part of following. After enlistment, client gets a private key, with will be utilized for gathering mark and document decoding. While amid enlistment itself, the client separates themselves as a maker or an author or a peruser.




2. Transfer Files the File transfer is done just by the gathering Manager or an administrator.

3. Records Update Moreover, the maker and essayist just can do altering of the information with the assent of the gathering supervisor. The peruser can just utilize the information content with approval.

4. Record Deletion The document or information put away in the cloud are erased by either the gathering chief or the part who transferred the record into the server.

5. Repudiate client from the gathering User renouncement is performed by gathering chief by executing a polynomial capacity done by gathering director alone. Once the client is denied from the gathering, then the gathering part  $r$  can't be capable access the cloud assets and its information.



#### V. System Preliminaries

The accompanying fundamental conditions and ideas will be utilized as a part of framework execution.

##### A. Bilinear Mapping

Let  $G$  be a multiplicative cyclic gathering of prime request  $p$  and  $g$  be its generator. The bilinear guide  $e$  is characterized as takes after:  $e: G \times G \rightarrow Z^*_p$ . The bylinear map  $e$  has the accompanying properties:

#### VI. Proposed System

The gathering chief will keep up the renouncement rundown of the individuals. On the off chance that any of the part leave the gathering then the part detail is added to that rundown and the client won't have the capacity to assist login to that gathering. At the point when the new part is added to the gathering then gathering key is given to the part. To expel personality protection issue, the gathering chief will have the rundown of the transferred records alongside the memberID from which the document is transferred. By this protection is kept secure and nobody will abuse as it is traceable by the gathering administrator. What's more, as it is multi-proprietor then any part can read information as well as alter their own information alongside the gathering supervisor. The documents which are transferred present in encoded structure, and the records can be seen by gathering part as they have the gathering key on which he or she has a place.




Fig. Proposed system Architecture

### AES Encryption

The information 16 byte Plain content can be changed over into 4×4 square lattice.

The AES Encryption comprises of four distinct stages they are

Substitute Bytes: Uses a S-box to play out a byte-by-byte substitution of the square

Shift Rows: A Simple Permutation

Blend Columns: A substitution that makes utilization of number juggling overGF(9)

Include Round Key: A Simple Bitwise XOR of the present piece with the segment of the extended key

### AES Decryption

The Decryption calculation makes utilization of the key in the opposite request. Be that as it may, the decoding calculation is not indistinguishable to the encryption calculation

### VII. Conclusion

In this paper, we outline against conspiracy information sharing plan for element bunch in the cloud. In our plan we utilize two sorts of calculations to scramble and unscramble the information put away in the cloud for more security that is utilized to make more troublesome framework for assault. In this plan we utilize sending instrument in which transferring client has power to forward his information to the next client and asked for client i.e downloading client will ask for information to the transferring client. All the action can be oversee by the supervisor.

### References

- [1] Zhongma Zhu, Rui Jiang, "A Secure Anti-Collusion Data Sharing Scheme for Dynamic Groups in the Cloud" 10.1109/TPDS.2015.2388446, IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems
- [2] M. Armbrust, A. Fox, R. Griffith, A. D. Joseph, R. Katz, A. Konwinski, G. Lee, D. Patterson, A. Rabkin, I. Stoica, and M. Zaharia. "A View of Cloud Computing," Comm. ACM, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 50-58, Apr.2010.
- [3] M. Kallahalla, E. Riedel, R. Swaminathan, Q. Wang, and K. Fu, "Plutus: Scalable Secure File Sharing on Untrusted Storage," Proc. USENIX Conf. File and Storage Technologies, pp. 29-42,

2003.Tavel, P. 2007 Modeling and Simulation Design. AK Peters Ltd.

[4] Shucheng Yu, Cong Wang, Kui Ren, and Weijing Lou, "Achieving Secure, Scalable, and Fine-grained Data Access Control in Cloud Computing," Proc. ACM Symp. Information, Computer and Comm. Security, pp. 282-292, 2010.

[5] R. Lu, X. Lin, X. Liang, and X. Shen, "Secure Provenance: The Essential of Bread and Butter of Data Forensics in Cloud Computing," Proc. ACM Symp. Information, Computer and Comm. Security, pp. 282-292, 2010.

[6] Xuefeng Liu, Yuqing Zhang, Boyang Wang, and Jingbo Yang, "Mona: Secure Multi-Owner Data Sharing for Dynamic Groups in the Cloud," IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1182-1191, June 2013.

[7] D. Boneh, X. Boyen, and E. Goh, "Hierarchical Identity Based Encryption with Constant Size Ciphertext," Proc. Ann. Int'l Conf. Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques (EUROCRYPT), pp. 440-456, 2005.

[8] Lan Zhou, Vijay Varadharajan, and Michael Hitchens, "Achieving Secure Role-Based Access Control on Encrypted Data in Cloud Storage," IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 1947-1960, December 2013.

[9] M. Nabeel, N. Shang, and E. Bertino, "Privacy preserving policy based content

### Authors

**B. Mary Suhani** received her B.Tech degree in Information Technology from JNTU Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India in 2014 and currently pursuing her M.Tech in Computer Science & Engineering from GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam, India.



**V. Srinadh** is working as an Assistant professor in GMR Institute of Technology. He received his B.Tech degree in Computer Science & Engineering from JNTU Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India in 2005 and M.Tech degree from JNTU Hyderabad, Telangana, India in 2010 and currently pursuing his Ph.D from the year 2013 at GITAM University, Andhra Pradesh, India.